

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Physica B 359-361 (2005) 566-568



www.elsevier.com/locate/physb

Variations of pairing potential and charge distribution in presence of a non-magnetic impurity

Grzegorz Litak*

Max-Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Nöthnitzer Str. 38, D-01187 Dresden, Germany

Abstract

Using an attractive Hubbard model, we examine spatial variations of superconducting order parameter and local charge on a two-dimensional lattice. For various band filling, we show the effect of destruction of the order parameter around a non-magnetic impurity. In case of a half-filled system such destruction is accompanied by appearance of characteristic charge variations around the impurity with an isotropic distribution. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 74.25.-q; 74.62.Dh; 71.45.Lr

Keywords: Superconductivity; Non-magnetic impurities; Charge density wave

According to Anderson theorem, the effect of non-magnetic disorder on s-wave superconductors can be neglected unless spatial fluctuations of order parameter are present [1,2]. However this theorem invented for conventional superconductors cannot be applied to other superconductors with a short coherence length [3,4] and for those of anisotropic pairing [5]. Another interesting situation, where the influence of disorder can be important, has been found in a case with an interplay between different long-range orders like superconductivity and charge density wave (CDW). In a negative U Hubbard model this happens for half-filling [6]. Here finite disorder favours superconducting phase against CDW [3,7–9]. These results show that the effect of disorder on superconductivity can be sometimes beneficial leading to disorder-induced superconductivity [9]. It must also be noticed that the charge ordering mechanism and its interplay with superconductivity are of a great interest itself because of existence of charge strips in HTc superconductors [10]. In the present note we will examine the effect of a single impurity on a superconducting order parameter and charge

^{*}Department of Mechanics, Technical University of Lublin, Nadbystrzycka 36, PL-20-618 Lublin, Poland. Tel.: +48815381573; fax: +48815241004.

E-mail address: g.litak@pollub.pl (G. Litak).

 $^{0921\}text{-}4526/\$$ - see front matter @ 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.physb.2005.01.152

fluctuations around non-magnetic impurity in a two dimensional lattice.

We start from negative *U* Hubbard hamiltonian [3,4,11]:

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \sigma} (c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + \text{h.c.}) + \sum_{i\sigma} (\varepsilon_i - \mu) c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{i\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}, \qquad (1)$$

where ε_i denotes an impurity potential located at the central site i = 0 ($\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_0 \delta_{0,i}$) and U on-site attraction (U < 0) which is the same at each lattice site *i*.

Our actual calculations consist of solving, selfconsistently, the following Bogoliubov–de Gennes equation [12]:

$$\sum_{j} \begin{pmatrix} (E^{v} - \varepsilon_{i} + \mu)\delta_{ij} + t_{ij} & \varDelta_{i}\delta_{ij} \\ \varDelta_{i}^{*}\delta_{ij} & (E^{v} + \varepsilon_{i} - \mu)\delta_{ij} - t_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{j}^{v} \\ v_{j}^{v} \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$
(2)

0.8 0.6 ž 0.4 \triangleleft 0.2 -8 0 8໌-8 (a) 1.4 1.0 ē 0.6 0.2 -8 0 I. 8-8 (b)

where $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i + Un_i/2$ denotes the renormalized site energy. The pairing potential Δ_i and the local charge n_i are to be found self-consistently:

$$\Delta_{i} = -U \sum_{v} u_{i}^{v} v_{i}^{v*} (1 - 2f(E^{v})),$$

$$n_{i} = 2 \sum_{v} (|u_{i}^{v}|^{2} f(E^{v}) + |v_{i}^{v}|^{2} (1 - f(E^{v}))),$$
(3)

where v enumerates the solutions of Eq. (2) for a given band filling n.

To examine the effect of a single impurity on the surrounding lattice sites we have solved the above Eqs. (2) and (3) in the real space using the recursion Lanczos algorithms for a superconductor [13]. In Fig. 1, we show the local distributions of the pairing potential Δ_i (Fig. 1a) and the charge n_i (Fig. 1b) around impurity located in the center of a 2d lattice for a band filling *n* close but slightly smaller than a half-filled situation (n = 0.85). Due



Fig. 1. (a) Pairing potential Δ_i and (b) charge $n_i (i = \langle l, j \rangle)$ on a two-dimensional lattice around the central impurity $(U = -3t, n = 0.85, \varepsilon_0 = t \text{ at } (l, j) = (0, 0)).$

Fig. 2. (a) Pairing potential Δ_i and (b) charge $n_i (i = \langle l, j \rangle)$ on a two-dimensional lattice around the central impurity $(U = -3t, n = 1.0, \varepsilon_0 = t \text{ at } (l, j) = (0, 0)).$

to the impurity ($\varepsilon_0 = t$) the pairing potential Δ_i is going down rapidly at the central site (Fig. 1a). This change is coupled to variation of the local charge n_i (Fig. 1b). Note that both distributions of Δ_i and n_i have similar form, e.g., they show similar anisotropy. The situation is quite different for a half filled system n = 1 (Fig. 2). Note that in this case the paring potential goes down around impurity more smoothly and isotropically (Fig. 2a) in comparison to the previous case (Fig. 1a). Interestingly, destruction of pairing is associated with strong oscillation of charge n_i (Fig. 2b). It is clear that around the central impurity the electron charge form a localized wave, with a characteristic size of 8-9 lattice spaces. In case of finite concentration of impurities we can expect superconductor with islands of a normal phase [11]. Our calculations show the appearance of local CDW. That in turn indicates that impurities can contribute to a phase separation phenomenon [9].

This work has been partially supported by the KBN grant No. 2P03B06225.

References

- [1] P.W. Anderson, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 11 (1959) 26.
- [2] B.L. Györffy, et al., in: M. Ausloos, A.A. Varlamov (Eds.), Fluctuation Phenomena in High Critical Temperature Superconducting Ceramics, NATO ASI Series, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997, p. 385.
- [3] G. Litak, et al., Physica C 308 (1998) 132.
- [4] R. Moradian, et al., Phys. Rev. B 63 (2001) 024501.
- [5] L.P. Gorkov, P.A. Kalugin, JETP Lett. 41 (1982) 253.
- [6] P. Miller, et al., Physica C 210 (1993) 343.
- [7] C. Huscroft, R.T. Scalettar, Phys. Rev. B 55 (1997) 1185.
- [8] C. Huscroft, R.T. Scalettar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 2775.
- [9] G. Pawłowski, S. Robaszkiewicz, Physica A 299 (2001) 475.
- [10] T. Timusk, B. Statt, Rep. Prog. Phys. 62 (1999) 61.
- [11] A. Ghosal, et al., Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 014501.
- [12] P.G. de Gennes, Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys, Benjamin, New York, 1966.
- [13] G. Litak, et al., Physica C 251 (1995) 263.